



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/316,754	05/21/1999	SHIA-SAN GONG	AT9-98-884	8260
7590 02/20/2004			EXAMINER	
BARRY NEWBERGER WINSTEAD, SECHREST & MINICK			VO, LILIAN	
100 CONGRESS AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 800			2127	
AUSTIN, TX 78701			DATE MAILED: 02/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			''				
		09/316,754	GONG ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Lilian Vo	2127	address.			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	ition appears on the cover	sneet with the correspondence t	<i>audress</i>			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faile Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howe ication.  1ays, a reply within the statutory miniory period will apply and will expire S  1. by statute, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be considered tin SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely. s communication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 26 <i>January</i> 2004.					
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1 - 8 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1 - 4, and 6 - 8 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Interpretation of the drawing (s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be	a) accepted or b) objust on to the drawing(s) be held be correction is required if the	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). e drawing(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Noti 3) Info	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date	0-948) FO/SB/08) 5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (F Other:	PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/316,754 Page 2

Art Unit: 2127

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1 - 8 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suver (US 6,016,497) in view of Burger et al. (US 6,161,170, hereinafter Burger).
- Regarding claim 1, Suver teaches a method for storing data that has at least some entries with multiple value attributes (abstract, col. 1, lines 24 36, col. 3, lines 6 17, 25 40), comprising the steps of:

storing data in an attribute table (col. 3, lines 6 - 16: a new separate table must be created for storing multiple values data, lines 25 - 40: embedded data is being treated as a tables themselves) or, alternatively, in a merged table (fig. 3, customers table 301) and an overflow table (fig. 3, custaddress table 302, customers table 303).

Application/Control Number: 09/316,754 Page 3

Art Unit: 2127

Suver however did not clearly teach the steps of profiling the data to determine  $\frac{1}{2}$  who how to store the data and storing the data optimally based on the profiling step. Nevertheless, Burger teaches the step of profiling the data to determine how to handle the data and handle the data based on the profiling step to provide improved system performance (fig. 6, abstract, col. 10, lines 4-22).

It would have been obvious for one of an ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to incorporate Burger's teaching to Suver's system so that data can be handled more effectively to further improve system performance.

- 5. Regarding claim 2, Suver teaches the method as described in claim 1 wherein the entries with single value attributes are stored in the merged table (fig. 3, table customers).
- Regarding claim 3, Suver teaches the method as described in claim 1, wherein the entries with multiple value attributes are store in the overflow table (fig. 3, custaddress table and custphones table, col. 8, lines 6 16, col. 8, line 60 col. 9, line 12).
- 7. Regarding claim 4, Suver teaches the method as described in claim 1 wherein the overflow table is an attribute table (fig. 3, custaddress table and custphones table, col. 8, lines 6 16, col. 8, line 60. col. 9, line 12).

Application/Control Number: 09/316,754 Page 4

Art Unit: 2127

'n

8. Regarding claim 6, Suver teaches the method as described in claim 1, wherein the profiling step parses the data to identify entries with single value attributes (col. 1, lines 24 – 36, col. 3, lines 6 - 17).

- 9. Regarding **claim** 7, Suver teaches the method as described in claim 1 wherein the profiling step parses the data to identify given operations that are performed on the data once stored (figs. 12 and 14).
- 10. Regarding **claim 8**, Suver teaches the method as described in claim 1 wherein the data is stored in a relational database backing store (col. 1, lines 24 36, col. 2, lines 53 57, col. 9, lines 15 26).

## Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/316,754

Art Unit: 2127

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 13.

disclosure: Kumar, US 6,542,515 B1 and Malloy et al., US 5,940,818, both disclose defining a

schema for each data type.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 14.

examiner should be directed to Lilian Vo whose telephone number is 703-305-7864. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 7:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on 703-305-9678. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lilian Vo Examiner

Art Unit 2127

February 12, 2004

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100